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- Topics
- Methodologies for Quantifying Race/Ethnicity Assignment in Arkansas Medicaid Data
- Methodologies for Using Member IDs versus APCD ‘Hash IDs’
- Methodologies using Cancer Data for Colorectal Cancer and other Screenings
- Latest APCD Release Information and Data Tips
- Questions/Discussion
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- Kenley Money, MA, MFA - Director of Information Systems Architecture/ACPD Director, ACHI
- Nichole Sanders, PhD - Assistant Director of Analytics, ACHI
- Sarah Crawford, MPA - Health Systems Data Analyst, ACHI


# Methodologies for Quantifying Race/Ethnicity Assignment in Arkansas Medicaid Data 

## Methodologies for Quantifying Race/Ethnicity Assignment in Arkansas Medicaid Data

- ME021 (Race)
- See Appendix H: Race https://achiapcd.atlassian.net/wiki/spaces/ADRS/pages/395968631/Appen $\underline{\text { dix }+\mathrm{H}+\text { Race }}$
- ME025 (Ethnicity)
- See Appendix I: Ethnicity https://achiapcd.atlassian.net/wiki/spaces/ADRS/pages/395804808/Appen dix+1+Ethnicity


## ME021 (Race) in Medicaid

- February 2020

| Code | Description | Distinct Beneficiaries | Percent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1002-5$ | American Indian or Alaska Native | 8,380 | $0.8 \%$ |
| $2028-9$ | Asian | 10,348 | $1.0 \%$ |
| $2054-5$ | Black or African American | 206,827 | $20.4 \%$ |
| $2076-8$ | Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 4,445 | $0.4 \%$ |
| $2131-1$ | Other | 24,846 | $2.5 \%$ |
| $9999-9$ | Unknown | 195,885 | $19.3 \%$ |
| $2106-3$ | White | 562,530 | $55.5 \%$ |

- Unknown is problematic


## ME025 (Ethnicity) in Medicaid

- February 2020

| Code | Description | Distinct <br> Beneficiaries | Percent of <br> Total |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 13 | Hispanic or Latino - American Indian or Alaska Native | 99 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 15 | Hispanic or Latino - Black or African American | 145 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 34 | Hispanic or Latino - Other or Blank (no race selected) | 10,245 | $1.0 \%$ |
| 17 | Hispanic or Latino - White | 41,542 | $4.1 \%$ |
| 05 | Not Hispanic or Latino - Black or African American | 29,024 | $2.8 \%$ |
| 07 | Not Hispanic or Latino - White | 85,860 | $8.4 \%$ |
| 23 | Unknown - American Indian or Alaska Native and White | 5,937 | $0.6 \%$ |
| 35 | Unknown - Other or Blank (no race selected) | 180,999 | $17.7 \%$ |
| 27 | Unknown - White | 442,523 | $43.2 \%$ |

- Unknown is problematic


## Combined ME021 and ME025

- If ME025 is a code associated with Hispanic or Latino then assign Race/Ethnicity as Hispanic/Latino; else use ME021


## Race/Ethnicity Combined

American Indian or Alaska Native
Asian
Black or African American
Hispanic/Latino
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander
Other
Unknown
White

Beneficiaries Percent of Total

| 8,282 | $0.8 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 10,297 | $1.0 \%$ |
| 206,685 | $20.4 \%$ |
| 53,439 | $5.3 \%$ |
| 4,406 | $0.4 \%$ |
| 23,071 | $2.3 \%$ |
| 185,939 | $18.3 \%$ |
| 521,280 | $51.4 \%$ |

- Unknown is still problematic


## Methodologies for Using Member IDs Versus APCD ‘Hash IDs’

## Methodologies for Using Member IDs Versus APCD ‘Hash IDs’

- Enrollees/individuals are connected in the following ways across data file types:

| Enrollees/Individuals Connections | Usage |
| :--- | :--- |
| Enrollees within a carrier or payer | Tracks enrollee experience within a carrier or payer over time |
| Enrollees across carriers or payers | Tracks enrollee experience across different carriers or payers over <br> time |
| Enrollees/individuals between claims-based and <br> non-claims-based data (when available) | Associates health statistics outcome information with claims <br> information (e.g., individuals on Arkansas Cancer Registry data <br> with enrollees from claims-based data) |

Resource: Arkansas APCD Data Attribute Supplement for Data Requesters

## Distinguishing Individuals

- Two key data elements used to distinguish enrollees:
- Enrollee ID - ID assigned by carrier or non-claims-based data source
- Claims-based data member IDs - ME107, MC137, PC107, DC056
- Non-claims-based data patient or member IDs - birth certificate numbers, death certificate numbers, patient control numbers, file or case numbers
- APCD Unique ID - A hashed version of the last name and date of birth for each enrollee/individual on both claims-based and non-claims-based data


## Enrollee Connections Within Carrier/Payer

When connecting enrollees to claims data within carriers or payers, use the SE_ID (Entity ID* and Enrollee ID**) to link an enrollee's plan/enrollment data to their claims information.

Important: Because duplicate Enrollee IDs can occur across different carriers or payers (e.g., John Smith on Carrier A has enrollee ID = 123, and Mary Green on Carrier B has enrollee ID = 123), it is important to include the Entity ID representing the carrier when identifying unique enrollees.

## For example:

SE_ID (Entity ID + Enrollee ID) = Carrier A 123 (John Smith)
SE_ID (Entity ID + Enrollee ID) = Carrier B 123 (Mary Green)
*Entity ID is an alphanumeric code that represents a carrier or payer within the plan/enrollment, claims, and provider data.
**Enrollee ID can also be referred to as Member ID.


## Enrollee Connections Across Carriers/Payers

When connecting enrollees across carriers or payers, use the STUDY_ID (APCD Unique ID (ME998) + Gender (ME013)). The STUDY_ID is also known as the 'Hash ID.'

The APCD Unique ID is a hashed version of the last name and date of birth for each enrollee. Each plan/enrollment record contains the enrollee's APCD Unique ID.

To link enrollees across carriers or payers:

1. Find enrollees with the same 'STUDY_ID' within the plan/enrollment data file level (use other data as needed to strengthen the linkage).
2. Once a linkage has been established, use SE_ID to find associated data on other data file types.

[^0]

## Across Carriers/Payers Connection Example

| Possible Order | \# |  | SE_ID |  | STUDY_ID (aka Hash ID) |  | Approach |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | File Type | Entity ID | Member ID | APCD_Unique_ID | Gender |  |
| 1 | 1 | Enrollment/Member | 83470 | 12ABC244 | XZ\$\#aJz27\%= | F | Join member to medical claims on SE_ID |
|  | 2 | Medical Claims | 83470 | 12ABC244 | n/a |  |  |
| 2 | 3 | Enrollment/Member | 83470 | 3995026 | XZ\$\#aJz27\%= | F | Look for other medical claims within carrier using STUDY_ID. NOTE, use other data to verify member. This could be a 'collision'. |
|  | 4 | Medical Claims | 83470 | 3995026 | n/a |  |  |
| 3 | 5 | Enrollment/Member | 99CAR1 | 6204081 | XZ\$\#aJz27\%= | F | Look for pharmacy claims by joining on STUDY_ID |
|  | 6 | Pharmacy Claims | 99CAR1 | 6204081 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |
| 4 | 7 | Enrollment/Member | 83470 | 12ABC244 | 86@!luVx\%i8= | F | This member record has a conflicting STUDY_IDs. If no other data can be used to confirm the member belongs the SE_ID group, it is recommended that they be dropped. |

## Individual Connections Across Non-Claims-Based Data Types

## When available:

The STUDY_ID can identify unique individuals across non-claims-based data types with high accuracy.
To link individuals across non-claims-based data types:

1. Find individuals with the same STUDY_ID within each non-claims-based data type.
2. Once a linkage has been established, use source-specific individual IDs to find associated data on other data file types.


## Connections Across Source Types



Data linkages example:

1. Identify the enrollees and individuals within the Carrier A data, Carrier B data, and Arkansas Birth Certificate data using the STUDY ID.
2. Select Carrier A plan/enrollment data using the selected STUDY_IDs.
3. Select Carrier A claims-based data using the SE_IDs associated with the selected STUDY_IDs.
4. Select Carrier B plan/enrollment data using the selected APCD Unique IDs.
5. Select Carrier B claims-based data using the SE_IDs associated with the selected STUDY_IDs.
6. Select Arkansas Birth Certificate data using the selected STUDY_IDs.
7. Create analytic dataset with selected data from steps 1 through 6 .

## Summary: Methodologies for Using Member IDs Versus APCD ‘Hash IDs’

- Use SE_ID when connecting enrollees within a carrier/payer
- Use STUDY_ID when connecting enrollees across carriers/payers
- Use STUDY_ID when connecting enrollees to individuals across claims-based-data and non-claims-based-data
- Use STUDY_ID when connecting enrollees within a carrier/payer when grouped by key data that represent a single enrollee
- e.g. service dates, procedures, diagnoses, but different SE_IDs are present
- Use SE_ID when connecting enrollees within a carrier/payer when grouped by key data that represent a single enrollee
- e.g. service dates, procedures, diagnoses, but different STUDY_IDs are present


## Methodologies for Using Cancer Data for Colorectal Cancer and Other Screenings

## ACHI Cancer Analyses Overview

- Objective is to assess statewide incidence, prevalence, stage at diagnosis, mortality, and guideline concordant screenings
- Primary data sources are the Arkansas Department of Health Cancer Registry and the Arkansas APCD
- Analyses will focus on some of the most prevalent cancer types including breast, lung/bronchus, colorectal, prostate, and cervical


# Cancer analysis data sources, potential linkages, and outcomes of interest 

## Arkansas All-Payer Claims Database (APCD)

- Population-based guideline-concordant screening rates
- Costs
- In state vs. out


## Cancer Registry

- Incidence
- Prevalence
- Mortality
- Stage at diagnosis
- Survival curve by stage
- Demographics including race \& ethnicity
- \% of cancer patients who received appropriate screenings
- Outcome disparities by payer

Hospital Discharge Data

- Cancer-related hospitalizations
- Uninsured
service utilization
- Discharges by payer/ coverage type
- Discharges by stage

HEALTHCARE
TRANSPARENCY

## Example: Arkansas Colorectal Cancer Incidence by Age, 2017

| Age | Count |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0-29$ | 7 |
| $30-39$ | 33 |
| $40-49$ | 124 |
| $50-59$ | 286 |
| $60-69$ | 433 |
| $70-79$ | 368 |
| $80+$ | 267 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 , 5 1 8}$ |

## Example: Colorectal Cancer Screening Utilization, Patient Ages 50-75, 2017

| Measure |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Study population (Commercial, Medicaid, and Medicare, <br> continuously enrolled) | 467,925 |
| Patients with a stool-based test | $23,182(5 \%)$ |
| Proportion of patients who had a stool-based test with a <br> colonoscopy within 6 months | $2,673(12 \%)$ |

- Analysis was done in context of updated policies recommending colorectal cancer screenings for 45-49 year old individuals, including stool-based at-home tests


## Key Considerations When Using Cancer Registry Data

- Cancer registry lists by tumor or incidence, not by individual
- When identifying patients with cancer of interest, SEER Recode variable should be used
- Lastdate is either date of death, or last date seen for cancer related follow-up
- For survival analysis, use last date and cause of death to determine if patient has passed


## Key Considerations When Using Cancer Registry Data (continued)

- For staging (stage of cancer), use:
- For dx in 2004-2015, use devss2000 (Derived SEER Summary Stage 2000)
- For dx in 2001-2003 and 2016-2017, use summarystg00 (SEER Summary Stage 2000)
- For dx in 2018+ use summarystg17 (SEER Summary Stage 2018)
- To determine prevalence, we use a 5-year period of time for each year in question
- Age-weighting can be done on incidence using U.S. standard population


## Relevant sources

- Cancer registry data dictionary: http://vicsql01/Reports/report/HDI SSRS Rpt/TABLE DD
- NAACR registry info (identifying fields in cancer registry): http://datadictionary.naaccr.org/default.aspx?c=10\&Version=21
- Stage descriptions (see previous):
https://seer.cancer.gov/tools/staging/eod/general-instructions.pdf
- SEER Recodes:
https://seer.cancer.gov/siterecode/icdo3 dwhoheme/index.html
- 2000 U.S. Standard Million for age-weighting: https://seer.cancer.gov/stdpopulations/stdpop.19ages.html


## Latest APCD Release Information and Data Tips

## Release Information

- Available APCD data
- Current APCD Data: Jan. 1, 2013, through December 31, 2020
- Release Notes available here:
- Overall coverage dates
- Source-specific release notes (problematic submitting entities)
- Inclusion of previously omitted submitters



## Always check the Arkansas APCD Data Issues and Tips page for the latest information!

## Data Tips

- Utilize searchable Arkansas APCD data dictionaries \& tip sheets
- Highlights (be sure to review them all!):
- Resolved Issues
- Issue 0022: Subscriber DOB and Age for 99HSM1
- Issue 0016: APCD Unique IDs (United)
- Issue 0020: Problematic APCD Unique ID Values in 67369D (Cigna Webster Dental)
- Featured Tips/Issues
- Tip 0087: Inpatient and Institutional Definition Expansion
- Issue 0080: Delta Dental Open Enrollment Segments
- Tip 0093: Identifying Duplicate Claims across Carriers


## APCD Technical Support

- Reach out to adrs@achiapcd.atlassian.net for questions about data requests, data use, or pricing
- For general requests, use support@achiapcd.atlassian.net.
- Something special!
- If you are interested in a one-on-one meeting with the Arkansas APCD team, reach out to us through our technical support email above


## Call to Action

- Sign up for ACHI Newsletter
- Follow on social media: ACHI and the Arkansas Healthcare Transparency Initiative featuring the Arkansas APCD


## © 0 (1)

- Check out the blog posts on ACHI website
- Next users group meeting: January 26, 2022


## SUBSCRIBE FOR UPDATES

## achi．net／newsletter

## 有ACH <br> INSPIRING HEALTHY ACTS <br>  <br> E－NEWS


[^0]:    Note: Individuals can have multiple STUDY_IDs across a single SE_ID because of name changes or data quality issues. Include other data in grouping to better distinguish the individual.

